tase 1:12-cv-00090-LG-JMR Document 109 Filed 11/29/17 Page 1 of 10 1

THE COURT: Madam clerk, if you would, please call 1 2 the next case. 3 THE CLERK: Civil Case Number 1:12cv90, Trout Point 4 Lodge, Limited, et al versus Handshoe, set for a show cause 5 hearing. 6 MR. CRUTHIRD: The movant is present, Your Honor. 7 I'm sorry. I couldn't hear you. THE COURT: 8 MR. CRUTHIRD: I'm sorry. The movant is present and 9 ready. 10 **THE COURT:** All right. What is your announcement? 11 MR. CRUTHIRD: We are ready to proceed, Your Honor. 12 **THE COURT:** My recollection of the case is that the 13 last time we were here, an order was entered granting in part 14 and denying in part your request for sanctions. It's painfully 15 apparent that the movant can continue to make motions until the 16 cows come home, and these individuals are not going to appear. 17 I'm trying to recall --18 MR. CRUTHIRD: Your Honor, I believe that we -- you 19 want me to go through a brief timeline on this? 20 THE COURT: That would probably be helpful in the 21 event that a reviewing court, for whatever reason, needs to 22 review this matter. 23 MR. CRUTHIRD: All right. Your Honor, I believe, rendered a judgment, the judgment that is at issue in this case 24 25 in December of 2013, and after an appeal by these non-present

individuals wound its way through the Fifth Circuit, your ruling was affirmed at that point.

And last fall, August 17, 2016, Mr. Handshoe, whom I represent, filed a motion for a judgment debtor exam in furtherance — in an attempt to collect the judgment rendered by the Court in his favor of approximately \$48,000.

Now, the Court granted the motion and ordered Charles

Leary and Vaughn Perret, who are Canadian citizens, to appear
on November — the motion was granted on September 20, 2016,
and the examination was scheduled by the Court for November 22,
2016. On November 22, 2016, the plaintiffs or the judgment
debtors did not appear as ordered.

Now, I want to go back, because this is going to be relevant to this issue of sanctions against Charles Leary and Vaughn Perret. There was an entry of appearance by this Judith Barnett all the way back in this case to May 19, 2014. And I've been as a secondary counsel for Mr. Handshoe in this case from the beginning. I've assisted Mr. Truitt in getting through pro hac vice appearance to be the primary counsel, but Ms. Barnett did enroll on May 19, 2014. I'm going to come back to her and the Court's recent action in regards to her.

Time passed on, and the Court ruled on June 13, 2017 and issued another show cause order for Leary and Perret to appear for July 27, 2017, and they didn't appear again, and we were before the Court at that time. And you're right, the Court did

subsequently render an order in part and denied in part and allowed Mr. Handshoe to further submit a brief in this matter as to the whole issue about sanctions.

And I believe the Court -- I don't have the date right here, but it's a part of the docket -- rendered a follow-up order in September that granted sanctions of \$100 a day against Trout Point Lodge, who has been served in this matter through a manager of the lodge that's located in Nova Scotia.

All right. Further attempts to serve Mr. Perret and Mr. Leary were had, and personal service was not granted or not gained. But back to Ms. Barnett again, she's been in this case all the way up to -- as a -- and getting notice on all court orders and notices in this case, and that's what we wanted to try to persuade the Court, to utilize her appearance and her service in this case all the way up until the Court allowed her to withdraw I believe one day last week.

Now, these individuals continue to litigate in a myriad of litigation involving Mr. Handshoe, who is a resident of Stone County, Mississippi, but their counsel are beginning to fall back and withdraw. There was a counsel in a case that Judge Ozerden has in federal court, a 2015 case, that their principal counsel has withdrawn in recent weeks on, and they are attempting to represent themselves pro se.

We have as a proposed exhibit to show you that they maintain significant contacts in the United States. Their

1 lodge is -- and I have this as a proposed composite Exhibit 1 2 or A. Their lodge is for sale -- been listed for sale with New 3 York State realtors, and there are several listing data here. 4 And also, in this other federal court case that Judge Ozerden 5 presides over, there have been pleadings filed pro se by Mr. 6 Leary that have New Orleans postmarks on them, and we have this 7 as a composite exhibit that I would like to offer Your Honor in 8 support of our motion today. 9 **THE COURT:** What is your motion today? MR. CRUTHIRD: For the Court to render sanctions 10 11 against these two individuals, Mr. Leary and Mr. Perret. Of 12 course, we would prefer the attachment of the body, but if the 13 Court were of the mind to -- because they are appearing in the 14 United States but apparently clandestinely. I mean, they are 15 in the -- have made entry into the United States. 16 THE COURT: All right. And Mr. Handshoe has a 17 judgment against them as well --18 MR. CRUTHIRD: Yes, sir. 19 THE COURT: -- that has been affirmed by the Court of 20 Appeals? 21 MR. CRUTHIRD: Yes, sir, and it's enrolled in not 22 only -- I think your home county it's enrolled in, but 23 otherwise here in Harrison County. 24 MR. HANDSHOE: Hancock and Stone.

MR. CRUTHIRD: Hancock County.

25

1 THE COURT: Karla, I wasn't aware there was an 2 additional motion pending. Is there a new motion? I wasn't 3 aware that there was an additional motion. 4 MR. CRUTHIRD: Yes, sir, this was set over -- this 5 was in the July -- this show cause hearing was set over for 6 September 20th, and when we didn't get personal process, we 7 requested a continuance, and it was reset by minute entry on --8 I'm looking for the date here. September 20th. It was set 9 over for today. So that's the remaining issue, Your Honor, 10 before the Court is sanctions against these two defendants or 11 two plaintiffs/respondents. 12 **THE COURT:** For failure to appear --13 MR. CRUTHIRD: On repeated notices for show cause. 14 Judgment debtor exam and then two show cause hearings. 15 THE COURT: What is the number on that motion, the 16 docket number? It should be across the top of it. 17 MR. CRUTHIRD: Do you have your number? Mr. Handshoe 18 is here. 19 MR. HANDSHOE: It's document 89. 20 MR. CRUTHIRD: 89, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Let's look that up and see if that's still pending. 89 -- we've already granted that motion? 22 23 THE CLERK: That was the actual motion to continue on 24 84, but the order was 89. 25 **THE COURT:** And the order entered was number 89.

hearing as Exhibit Number 1.

MR. CRUTHIRD: Yes, sir. May I approach?

THE COURT: Movant Exhibit Number 1. Yes, sir.

(EXHIBIT 1 MARKED)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. And you are asking for

additional sanctions to be brought against the individuals as was also done with the corporation --

MR. CRUTHIRD: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: -- Trout Point?

MR. CRUTHIRD: Now, these men, I stand corrected by my client, are American citizens. They just have a business and operate out of Canada.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. CRUTHIRD: And they are — they have been active — as I indicated, part of that composite Exhibit 1 shows that Leary is filing responses pro se in Judge Ozerden's case. And we have another case that I'm more — I guess have been more integrally involved in, which was a state court collection proceeding against Mr. Handshoe. I alluded to that in my presentation back in July. And they have continued to fund an attorney out of Jackson on that, and the circuit judge has not ruled on some issues as to what they were entitled, but they did conduct a judgment debtor exam on Mr. Handshoe.

THE COURT: Here's what I'm going to do. I'm going to enter what appears to be the best way to handle this based upon the service upon the lawyer who had not withdrawn from the case prior and her representation of them, having been noticed on the sanctions hearing, that you are entitled to sanctions against them as well and in the same form and in the same fashion as the corporation has been sanctioned, and I will

1 enter a supplemental order to that effect. 2 MR. CRUTHIRD: Thank you, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Does that take care of it? 4 MR. CRUTHIRD: Yes, sir. I think we are probably 5 done in this court. Aren't we, Doug? I mean, I know Judge 6 Guirola will be relieved, but small relief. 7 **THE COURT:** I would say I would be -- I can move on 8 to other things. 9 MR. CRUTHIRD: Yes, sir. 10 THE COURT: But I will enter that supplemental order, 11 and, of course, you have an enrolled judgment, and I will leave 12 it to the individuals and to the corporation to come to this 13 Court and tell me why it should be set aside. But in the 14 meantime, it will remain in effect. 15 MR. CRUTHIRD: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: All right. We will enter an order to 17 that effect today. 18 MR. CRUTHIRD: Thank you very much. 19 **THE COURT:** If there is nothing else, we are 20 adjourned. 21 (HEARING CONCLUDED) 22 23 24

25

3 CERTIFIC

CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

I, Teri B. Norton, RMR, FCRR, RDR, Official Court
Reporter for the United States District Court for the Southern
District of Mississippi, appointed pursuant to the provisions
of Title 28, United States Code, Section 753, do hereby certify
that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the proceedings
reported by me using the stenotype reporting method in
conjunction with computer-aided transcription, and that same is
a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and
understanding.

I further certify that the transcript fees and format comply with those prescribed by the Court and the Judicial Conference of the United States.

s/ Teri B. Norton

TERI B. NORTON, RMR, FCRR, RDR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER